

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/511,338	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LETTKEMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter Szekely	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Carole Mickelson.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 March 2006

**Time:** 4:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*1, 9, 16 and 17.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The blend of oxyalkylene-alkyl ether and dicarboxylic acid in claims 1, 9, 16 and 17 will be changed to a copolymer of the two ingredients. Claim 16 will also require at least 25% of the gypsum be in the beta-calcined form.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)